## SESSION #11

DATE: Monday, October 26, 2020

TIME: 8:00 a.m. - 8:35 a.m.

PLACE: Zoom

## COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Brenda Priestly Jackson, Chair, District 10 Randy DeFoor, District 14 Scott Wilson, District 4 Tommy Hazouri, Council President, Group 3 Ronald Salem, Group 2

## ALSO PRESENT:

Steve Cassada, IT Administrator
Melanie Wilkes, Council Support Services
Eric Grantham, Council Support Services
Jeff Clements, Chief of Research
Yvonne Mitchell, Council Research
Phillip Peterson, Council Auditor's Office
Heather Reber, Council Auditor's Office
Peggy Sidman, Office of General Counsel
Jason Gabriel, Office of General Counsel
Steve Busey, Smith, Hulsey & Busey
Kurtis Wilson, JEA
Sandra Homrighouse, Ethics Office

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Good
3	morning. This meeting on the Special
4	Investigatory Committee on JEA is called to
5	order. The time is 8:00 a.m. Will we have
6	all Committee Members please identify
7	themselves for the record, as well as all
8	visiting Council Members.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: Hi. I'm Randy
10	DeFoor.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON: I'm Scott
12	Wilson, District 4.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: Ron Salem, Group
14	2 At-Large.
15	COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Tommy
16	Hazouri, substitute for Scott Wilson, who is
17	there, but I'm going to be here anyway for a
18	while.
19	Welcome back, Scott.
20	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: And
21	Brenda Priestly Jackson, SIC Chair and
22	Council Member for District 10.
23	We will now have the invocation and
24	Pledge of Allegiance led by Council Member
25	DeFoor.

1	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: Thank you, Madam
2	Chair.
3	Dear Lord, we I ask you to bow your
4	heads.
5	Dear Lord, we ask that you be with us
6	today, give us the strength and courage to
7	and guidance and give us discernment to
8	your words and for your work. In your name
9	we pray, amen.
10	If you'll join us now in the Pledge of
11	Allegiance.
12	(Pledge of allegiance.)
13	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Thank
14	you. Thank you, Council Member DeFoor.
15	We will now move to the Outside Counsel
16	update with Steve Busey, Esquire.
17	Mr. Busey.
18	We can't hear Mr. Busey. We can't hear
19	him.
20	MS. MITCHELL: He's hold on, because
21	he's unmuted from our end. Yes, he's
22	unmuted from our end.
23	Mr. Busey, if you would put yourself on
24	mute and then unmute yourself, we'll try to
25	see if that will reset it.

1	Okay. Now, try to unmute yourself. No,
2	sir. They may need to turn up his volume on
3	his side, because it's not showing that
4	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: I think
5	he has able assistants coming in the
6	background.
7	MS. MITCHELL: Yes, ma'am.
8	Will you say something, Mr. Busey? No.
9	Steve suggests that you log out and come
10	back in, because we see that you are not
11	muted and we see your video, but we're not
12	connecting, so his suggestion is to log out
13	and come back in.
14	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: While
15	that's happening, I want to I don't want
16	them not to be on for our Office of General
17	Counsel update, as well. So what I will
18	do share with the public at this time is
19	we have the next meeting of the SIC after
20	today scheduled for November 16th at
21	5:00 p.m. and the following meeting is
22	November 30th at 5:00 p.m. Those are the
23	two meetings that we have scheduled to take
24	public comment and input. And those
25	meetings may very well be in Council

1	Chambers, which is our hope at that time as
2	well, so to let the public know that those
3	two meetings are scheduled. For that time,
4	those are our only meetings in November.
5	And we moved from the second and fourth
6	Tuesdays because of conflicts with the
7	calendar scheduling and also information
8	requested by the SIC.
9	Our close-out meeting for this year is
10	anticipated for Monday, December 7th at
11	5:00 p.m., as well. And that will be the
12	final meeting of the SIC Committee on JEA
13	for this year and should coincide with the
14	conclusion of our charge absent being
15	extended by Council President at the request
16	of either Outside Counsel or General
17	Counsel.
18	All right. I was just giving that
19	update.
20	Mr. Busey, are you ready now to share?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: He may have to
22	phone in. Something is up with his
23	computer.
24	MS. MITCHELL: Steve, we still can't
25	hear him. So what do we suggest?

1	Yeah, that's the recommendation. He
2	needs to dial in. We can keep him video,
3	but he needs to dial in. Their audio isn't
4	working.
5	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Okay.
6	Did you hear that, Mr. Busey? If you can
7	just dial in, but we'll keep the video going
8	and you will just talk to us through the
9	dial in, as well.
10	MR. BUSEY: All right. We'll do that.
11	MS. MITCHELL: There he is.
12	Don't touch anything. Do not touch
13	anything.
14	MR. BUSEY: There is some expertise that
15	I don't have.
16	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: That's
17	okay. We appreciate it.
18	We're now for Outside Counsel update,
19	Steve Busey, Esquire.
20	Mr. Busey.
21	MR. BUSEY: Steve Busey. Thank you,
22	Madam Chair.
23	Since we last since the Committee
24	last met, the Rules Committee voted last
25	Tuesday to serve subpoenas on Tim Baker.

1 And they were served that evening and 2 accepted early the next morning. And so 3 we're waiting on Mr. Baker's response to the Rules Committee subpoena.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Also since that time, we've taken the -we've interviewed under oath Husein Cumber and we've tried to talk to April Green, but she declined. Deno Hicks declined. Lisa Strange Weatherby has agreed to be interviewed. And we're trying to schedule that date now. Joey Greive, the City's treasurer, has agreed to be interviewed; that's scheduled for November 17. John Campion unfortunately is deceased; we're not going to be able to interview him.

We're still trying to get in touch with Camille Lee-Johnson. And we have -- are reaching out to a number of City Council people regarding their responses to the Committee regarding who they met with during the cone of silence.

We've looked at a predictive coding on over 600,000 documents. And we're well underway now in beginning to draft our report, which we should have within the time

1	frame that's been permitted by Council
2	President Hazouri. So that's a short
3	report, but we're on track.
4	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Okay.
5	Committee Members, questions? Committee
6	Members DeFoor, Wilson or Council President
7	Hazouri?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: Madam Chair, I
9	have no questions.
10	COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: I have none,
11	yet.
12	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Is
13	Council Member Wilson here?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON: I don't have any
15	questions, ma'am.
16	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON:
17	Wonderful, thank you.
18	Any visiting Council Members, any
19	questions?
20	Thank you, Councilman Salem.
21	Thank you so much, Mr. Busey, for that
22	update. We now move to the Office of
23	General Counsel, Jason Gabriel, Esquire.
24	We can't hear you either, Jason. I
25	think it's Big Brother. I think we're being

1	hacked.
2	MS. MITCHELL: You have to unmute
3	yourself.
4	MR. GABRIEL: All right. I think I did
5	it.
6	All right. Good morning. I think
7	Mr. Busey covered the subpoenas. They've
8	been served and accepted.
9	Our office is doing a quick review of
10	about 1,500 new documents that we've pulled.
11	Those should be completed today. Let's see
12	here. Just going down my list.
13	JEA is gathering a few additional items
14	per the request, and I think that's about
15	it. We continue to work with Special
16	Counsel, and I think we're bringing this in
17	for a landing in the time frame, and I think
18	everything thus far has been going pretty
19	smoothly.
20	Lastly, I'm in the process of completing
21	an index disclosure. I think we spoke about
22	this a few weeks ago. Basically, a list, ar
23	index of all any and all opinions and
24	legal guidance that our office provided
25	during the previous privatization

1	discussions over the years. This will go
2	back a few years. I am putting that
3	together along with links to the actual
4	opinions and legal guidance memos so it's
5	kind of like a one-stop-shop index so you
6	can look at it and, you know, see sort of
7	it's kind of a good chronology that takes
8	you through time over the past, I don't
9	know, three years or so. And that should be
10	completed soon.

I'm trying to make sure -- every now and then I feel like I've got it complete and then there is some, you know, guidance or memo that I had forgotten about that popped up and I put it in. So I just want to make sure it's exhaustive and complete, but I'm working on that and that should be finalized pretty soon.

Other than that, like I said, we continue to work with Outside Counsel and, you know, so far so good. And I think we should be bringing this in, you know, for a landing here soon. So that's all I have, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: And would

1	you forward you shared the process when
2	we were at the Rules Committee meeting, but
3	I'm certain the public wants to know the
4	process at this meeting, as well. Would you
5	just give the timelines relative to the
6	Rules Committee's actions on the on last
7	week, which was the 20th, in terms of the
8	subpoenas and then when the responses are
9	due and what happens if it is partial
10	compliance, substantial compliance,
11	noncompliance, then it goes back to Rules
12	and then to the Council as a whole. Would
13	you just give that timeline for the public,
14	please.
15	MR. GABRIEL: Sure. You want me to do
16	it orally right now?
17	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Yes.
18	MR. GABRIEL: No problem. So the SIC
19	Committee had and we'll use the Tim Baker
20	and the affiliated corporate entities as the
21	examples since that's the set of subpoenas
22	that's out there right now.
23	And this would apply to any subpoenas,
24	obviously. But the SIC Committee had made a
25	recommendation on the 20th of October to

1	recommend approval of and issuance of a set
2	of subpoenas. That travelled to the
3	Committee of reference, which was the Rules
4	Committee, last week, whatever date that
5	was, the
6	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: The 20th,
7	that was actually the 20th.
8	MR. GABRIEL: I'm sorry. Yeah. Let me
9	rephrase this for the public's benefit.
10	The SIC Committee prior to October 20th
11	had made that recommendation. October 20th
12	was actually the Rules Committee meeting
13	where the Rules Committee took up the
14	recommendation and actually approved and
15	issued the subpoenas, which was last
16	Tuesday. Within, I think, 24 hours of that,
17	the subpoenas were prepared, issued,
18	executed and actually served and accepted, I
19	think, within 24 hours. It may have been
20	within 48 hours. And so those are underway.
21	There is a deadline set in those
22	subpoenas for November 16th at 5:00 a.m.,
23	which essentially coincides with your next
24	SIC Committee meeting on the 16th. And so
25	we'll see, you know, what transpires by

1 then.

2	And obviously, if they're adhered to,
3	then that settles it and you review the
4	documentation and we go from there. If it's
5	not, if it's partially or completely
6	non-complied with, then at that point in
7	time the SIC Committee, on the 16th, will
8	have a consideration of that and decide
9	whether it wants to continue down the road
LO	of enforcing those subpoenas. And if it
11	decided to do so if it decided not to,
12	then it ends there, as well. If it decides
13	to do so, then it would make its
L 4	recommendation, which would then travel
L5	along this expedited timeline, it would
16	travel the next available Rules Committee
L7	to consider the SIC Committee's
L8	recommendation from the 16th would be the
L 9	17th, which would be that Tuesday, November
20	17th at the Rules Committee, which then the
21	Rules Committee would take it up, take a
22	consideration of the recommendation. And
23	then should it decide that it wants to
24	continue to force the subpoena, it would
25	make that recommendation, which would then

1	travel as a resolution and order. We would
2	prepare that documentation. We would file
3	it the 18th, which is that Wednesday of
4	committee week, which would be a filing
5	deadline. We would file it by then if the
6	Rules Committee so decided, which would then
7	go to City Council on the 24th as an
8	emergency.
9	And then the Council will decide on the
10	24th whether it wants to reissue the
11	subpoena or not and then it goes from there.
12	If the Council decides to reissue the
13	subpoena, it does so, there is another
14	deadline. And then if that's not adhered
15	to, then the Council rules with a
16	consideration and recommendation and Council
17	will have to decide whether it wants to take
18	it to circuit court or not.
19	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Okay.
20	MR. GABRIEL: So that, essentially,
21	generally completes that sort of timeline.
22	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Okay.
23	Only question I have relative to what you
24	just shared, before we take questions from
25	Committee Members and Council President and

1 other Council Members, what -- is there just 2 -- since the response is due on 11/16/20, 3 which is the next SIC meeting, is there -there is no action to be taken by the SIC at 4 that time other than to note whether the 5 6 responses have been forwarded to the actual 7 -- action item was taken by the Rules 8 Committee. 9 So you mentioned that the SIC then would 10 decide what would be the determination or decision of the SIC on the 16th. I didn't 11 12 think there was anything else for us to do 13 other than to make note whether we received 14 the information or not and then it goes back 15 to the Rules Committee. Can you just share 16 that? 17 MR. GABRIEL: Yeah. I mean that -- you 18 know, this is a new, completely new, process 19

MR. GABRIEL: Yeah. I mean that -- you know, this is a new, completely new, process that we're all working through. And what I'm relaying to you is, essentially, extrapolated straight from the code. The code, obviously, leaves some informational gaps to be filled.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So, yeah, I mean, on the 16th, if -let's just assume for a minute there is a --

1 you know, it's not adhered to in some way. The SIC Committee, you can do nothing at 2 3 all; you can note that it had occurred and leave it at that; or you're in a position to 4 make a recommendation to the Rules 5 Committee. But, no, there is no action that 6 7 you need to take or have to take on the 8 16th. Yeah, let me be clear about that. 9 Now, and for that matter, the same with 10 the Rules Committee on the 17th. You know, 11 again -- and I'm not suggesting this, but, 12 you know, one of the things you can do is 13 drop it and leave it alone and not do 14 anything. But if you wanted to -- I'm sure 15 there will be a consideration of what 16 occurred, if anything. And then the Rules 17 Committee on the 17th also will decide, you 18 know, does it want to leave it at that and 19 move on or, you know, make the report 20 recommendation to the Council to proceed 21 with the subpoena. 2.2 That's right, Madam Chair. So the SIC 23 Committee can do something as far as a 24 recommendation, that's about as far as it 25 can go on the 16th, or it can just leave it

1	at that and let the Rules Committee take it
2	up on the 17th.
3	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Okay.
4	Thank you.
5	And I made this request, so we're not
6	going to anticipate noncompliance at this
7	point; we will see what happens. But I
8	requested on behalf of the SIC, as well as
9	information that would go before the Rules
10	Committee, that we have and I'm just
11	going to restate this for the record now
12	that, Mr. Gabriel, you work in coordination
13	with Mr. Busey's office. I'd like for us to
14	have a memorandum from each of you that's
15	ready for the 16th in the event that there
16	is not compliance or insuffic not
17	substantial compliance or there is an
18	objection made.
19	And what I want in that legal
20	memorandum, sort of what you did when we
21	talked about legislative purpose and the
22	policy basis for us issuing the subpoenas,

talked about legislative purpose and the policy basis for us issuing the subpoenas, would you tell us, answer a couple questions -- and I'm going to look to my other Committee Members to chime in, as

well, for this. But would you tell us, in addition to the cost for every step of enforcement if that was an action taken by the Rules Committee and then before the City Council, would you include what that cost would be? Would you also answer the question, if there is any other way for us to receive the information that we think that we're going to receive, that we're requesting from the five corporate entities and Mr. Baker individually.

2.2

And then, Mr. Busey, more specifically, if there is another way to get that information, if there is a way to make a logical inference based on what other information we have, does that lack of substantial compliance or noncompliance negatively impact your ability to complete a final report for us consistent with the charge of the SIC, okay.

But I would like for that, before the Rules Committee takes any action, if there is not compliance on the 17th. So that's kind of drafting with the thought if it's not, which we would know maybe by that

1	Friday before if we can have that
2	information, I think that would be very
3	beneficial before any further action is
4	taken: Legal memorandum on what's
5	requested, does it impede our charge if
6	there is noncompliance with subpoenas, and
7	then the cost intended at every step, which
8	means Rules Committee, full Council, past
9	all that. So that's my request.
10	Council Member DeFoor, do you have
11	anything you would like to add?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: No, Chairwoman.
13	My understanding was and I would like to
14	know also in the process, I think the final
15	step, if the Council were to issue the
16	subpoena and it was refused, I think
17	Mr. Gabriel said it goes to circuit court,
18	but I also understood it could go to the
19	State Attorney's Office. And I just think
20	it I don't care which way, I just think
21	we need to have the entire analysis done of
22	exactly what the options are, if that's
23	okay, as well.
24	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Yep,
25	sounds great.

1	MR. GABRIEL: May I address that real
2	quick?
3	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Yes.
4	MR. GABRIEL: Yeah. Ms. DeFoor brings
5	up a good point. The code itself, and it
6	was written a long time ago, actually only
7	refers to at the end of this whole thing, if
8	it's not complied with, only refers to
9	sending it to the state attorney. And that
10	has been some sorts of internal discussion
11	as to what exactly that means.
12	What we've said, though, is that the
13	Council, in situations like this, for
14	enforcement, always has the inherent right
15	to perfect or enforce something in circuit
16	court.
17	But to Ms. DeFoor's point, we can sort
18	of maybe elaborate on that a little bit more
19	in our memo that you're asking for, because
20	we did a previous memo actually back in '18
21	when the previous JEA committee was led by
22	Council Member Crescimbeni at the time. We
23	had a whole thing on subpoenas and the whole
24	process, et cetera. In that one, if you
25	read it, it kind of takes you through

1	everything we're talking about here. But it
2	ends with just the end point of state
3	attorney. So, yeah, this issue of circuit
4	court we can flesh out a little more.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER DeFOOR: Thank you, Madam
6	Chair. And the reason I raise that is
7	because the cost issue. So I think it's
8	important that thank you for that,
9	Mr. Gabriel.
10	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Committee
11	Member Wilson, do you have any questions?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON: No, ma'am.
13	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Okay.
14	Thank you.
15	Mr. President, Council President
16	Hazouri?
17	COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Thank you,
18	Madam Chair. My question would have been
19	and General Gabriel answered them all, I
20	wanted to hear the timeline so the public
21	could know exactly where we are. It's still
22	a little bit, I'm sure, confusing for some
23	who haven't been keeping up with this.
24	Also, to Steve Busey, Steve, when will
25	the not just the subpoenas for Mr. Baker

1	and the five corporate entities, but you'll
2	be receiving testimony from Mr. Greive and
3	Ms. Strange and others in between all of
4	this. How is that what is your timeline
5	on that?
6	And also, to Madam Chair, will there be
7	public comments at that point or you're just
8	going to vote or are you going to receive
9	public comments, not just from Council
10	Members who aren't on the Committee but from
11	the public in general?
12	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: So I'm
13	going to let Mr. Busey Mr. Busey, you
14	want to answer the question Council
15	President posed?
16	And then I'll address our meeting
17	format, Mr. President.
18	MR. BUSEY: Yes, yes. To the
19	President's question, we anticipate
20	completing those interviews, which I
21	mentioned, during the month of November. We
22	have several scheduled now and we expect to
23	deliver our report, absent further
24	developments on the subpoenas, during
25	December, consistent with the President's

1 charge.

2.2

COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Okay. And
as far as the subpoena itself, you're just
subpoenaing Mr. Baker and the five
corporate, is that how you did it or -MR. BUSEY: That's all that we've done
so far, yes.

COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Okay. This is kind of a loaded question, and you may have mentioned it before. I just want to make sure that the public understands where we're going with this and why -- what other information other than whatever you've received so far that we're looking for. And I think that's important, because we're not just haphazardly sending out subpoenas just to look like we're the top dog or something.

I mean, we know that the U.S. attorney is looking at it and potentially the state attorney, but to wrap up our mission and our charge, I just want to find out exactly what we're looking for, whether they agree to come or not so -- that we haven't done that we need to gather again that we haven't received so far in your interviews with

1 Mr. Baker and others. I don't know that you -- I can't remember whether you've even 2 heard from Mr. Baker. But what are we 3 looking for that's different than what we've 4 5 already received. CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: May I 6 7 interject for just a moment? 8 Council President, we -- I'm not certain 9 if you're aware, we sent all five of the 10 subpoenas, along with the one for Mr. Baker, 11 so it kind of outlines specifically what the 12 request was. If you can review those, and 13 just because I think it may run us over 14 time, and perhaps reach out directly with 15 Mr. Busey if you have any follow-up 16 questions on any of that, then I think that 17 would be probably more advantageous to you, 18 as the same offer is extended to all Council 19 Members, if you're okay with that. 20 COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: It does. 21 Yeah, I am. I think it's important that 2.2 we're not just haphazardly going after 23 something that's -- you know, we have rhyme 24 and reason on what you're doing, what the

SIC Committee is doing. We have a lot of

25

1 testimony, you remember, from some of the 2 other members last time, and I don't want us 3 to be in a position where people are posturing for or against the subpoena or for 4 5 or against Mr. Baker; it's just for our 6 information and what's important for us to 7 complete our mission. Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Right. 9 And that's why in advance of the Rules 10 Committee meeting last week, those -- the 11 copies of the proposed subpoenas, all five 12 of the ones for the corporate entities, as 13 well as personally, were forwarded to all 14 Rules Committee Members and all Council 15 Members in advance. So that -- and then 16 your question to me was relative to public

meeting on the 30th.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And if there is an action item before the Rules Committee on the 17th, we will look at the ability to accommodate public comment and finish our agenda that we were unable to complete last time. So that's kind of moving far ahead.

comment. We will have public comment at the

SIC meeting on the 16th, as well as the SIC

1	You gave us time constraints for the
2	meeting, so I have to kind of see if we can
3	get through all that. We'll have public
4	comment at the Rules Committee meeting.
5	COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Will the
6	public comment be limited to the subpoenas
7	only at the Rules Committee?
8	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: If we
9	have the time. I can't honestly say,
10	Mr. Council President, we're going to have
11	the time. We left off with about three
12	items last time and then there is a new
13	agenda
14	COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Right. I
15	saw that.
16	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: So I
17	will we will definitely try to make it
18	and accommodate it if at all possible and be
19	mindful of that, okay.
20	COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Thank you,
21	Madam Chair.
22	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: I see
23	Council Member Salem. You have a question?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER SALEM: Thank you, Chair.
25	Just a comment. I'm told by a couple of

1	constituents that the Committee meeting
2	today is not online and is not on TV, as it
3	normally is. I notified Paul to that fact,
4	but just wanted everyone to know, unless
5	that's been fixed over the last few minutes,
6	we are not streaming.
7	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Thank
8	you.
9	And, Ms. Mitchell, if you all will give
10	us an update on that before we get off
11	today.
12	MS. MITCHELL: Thank you, ma'am.
13	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: We will
14	now move on to Item E, witnesses, subpoenas,
15	documents and related issues. Is there
16	anything further, Mr. Busey or Mr. Gabriel,
17	for Item E?
18	MR. BUSEY: Nothing further from the
19	Special Counsel.
20	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON:
21	Mr. Gabriel?
22	MR. GABRIEL: No, ma'am. Nothing from
23	my end either.
24	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Okay. We
25	now move to Item F, Council Members'

1	disclosures. We've not received any
2	additional disclosures since the last SIC
3	meeting, which means to date we've received
4	17 Council Members' voluntary disclosures.
5	And as Mr. Busey shared, they are kind of
6	reviewing those and will reach out
7	individually to Council Members relative to
8	information they included that was in their
9	disclosures.
10	We now move on to Item G, Council
11	Members' one-on-one meetings with Outside
12	Counselman Steve Busey.
13	Mr. Busey extended an offer for Council
14	Members to continue to meet with you, that
15	is still the standard case?
16	MR. BUSEY: Yes, ma'am, that's still the
17	case. We have not had any Council People
18	since the last meeting ask to meet with us,
19	but we are available and will make ourselves
20	available if any Council Person wants to
21	talk to us about the investigation.
22	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Okay.
23	Thank you.
24	Moving right on. Mr. Gabriel, I did
25	want to follow up on something you shared,

1 and I'm encouraged that you are going to 2 have your office kind of do an index of 3 legal guidance and memos you've done relative JEA since you have been the General 4 5 Counsel. The only official thing that I would add is I would not say go back to the 6 7 last Committee and conversation. I think 8 that, through the review of the timeline 9 that we have been able to do with Mr. Busey 10 and the attorneys in his office, it actually 11 predates all of that. So I think if you can 12 just look at whatever index might have been 13 starting shortly, probably a year or two 14 shortly after the prior Council assumed 15 office in July of '15, that might be best, 16 that way we would not miss anything for that 17 index or memos that were provided. 18 And I'll share with the public we're 19 asking for that because what has become 20 somewhat obvious at this point was there was 21 a covert operation relative to the 2.2 privatization and there was an overt 23 operation relative to the privatization.

things were done.

And so there is a flexible timeline on when

24

25

1	So just to make certain that any
2	guidance provided by your office
3	contemplates any time frames that we may be
4	dealing with this issue, I would just ask to
5	extend the review of your legal memorandums
6	and advice your office provided to the City
7	Council or JEA not just to the 2018 date,
8	but probably looking back to 2015, that's
9	the only thing I would say. You may have
10	given it for one thing, it may have been
11	used for another. That's all I have for
12	that.
13	Other business from any Committee
14	Members?
15	I think the Council President has
16	something he would like to share.
17	MS. MITCHELL: He's got to unmute
18	himself.
19	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Unmute
20	yourself.
21	And I'm told we're streaming now; is
22	that
23	MS. MITCHELL: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Thank
25	you, Councilman Salem for calling that to

1	our attention.
2	COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Am I unmuted
3	now?
4	CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Yes.
5	COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: I just have
6	it really kind of concerns me on the
7	Council Member disclosures. So we have two,
8	Ms. Cumber and Mr. Bowman, who haven't done
9	the disclosures. Maybe they don't feel like
10	they have to, and they don't. But they've
11	testified the other day, I saw, on voting
12	against being against the subpoenas.
13	Now, to Mr. Busey, you said that you may
14	or maybe you are going to be interviewing
15	some Council Members. If these Council
16	Members, among all the others, have done
17	or did their disclosures, would that satisfy
18	some of the concerns you have or whatever
19	they may put down or not put down that you
20	would be interviewing these people, and I
21	don't know who you're talking about, that
22	you would be interviewing them anyway? Does
23	that make sense?
24	In other words, if they haven't done
25	if two of them are the ones that hadn't done

the disclosure and you needed to talk to
them, I'm not saying you do or don't, but
would you, in spite of the fact that they
didn't do a disclosure, which may save you
some time of interviewing, that you would be
interviewing them anyway regardless of
whether they did a disclosure or not?

2.2

MR. BUSEY: We will likely do that. The disclosures are very helpful to us because they tell about communications that happened during the cone of silence regarding this process. And we're going to make inquiries with the Council People who made those disclosures. If we had them from the two Council Members that you asked, that would be helpful. But we'll probably talk to them even in the absence of those disclosures to see if they have anything they can add to the picture for us.

COUNCIL PRESIDENT HAZOURI: Well, as

Council President, I would encourage them to
do so. They may have their reasons and they
may not like the Investigative Committee, I
think that's what Mr. Bowman said, but it
sure would be helpful to bring this -- our

1 SIC Committee to a good wrap-up. And I know 2 you've been working on it and, if not, then 3 just do what you need to do. And I would encourage all the Council 4 5 Members to participate. And it's not saying -- I think the disclosure did a great job 6 with that, Madam Chair, in asking for this. 7 8 And I think it's important that we all 9 participate, that we all know that, when we 10 vote, that we're voting in good conscience 11 and we're voting because we want to bring 12 this to a conclusion and we want to make 13 sure that the job of the SIC Committee --14 and thank you again, Mr. Wilson, for 15 appointing this -- and that the job is 16 complete. So thank you very much. 17 And thank you, Mr. Busey. 18 MR. BUSEY: Thank you, Mr. President. 19 CHAIRPERSON PRIESTLY JACKSON: Any other 20 hands raised or any other comments from Committee Members or Council Members? 21 2.2 And I want to go on the record just to 23 kind of state I am very encouraged by the 16 24 other Council Members, as well as myself, 25 that did the disclosures. And I just want

1	to share I mentioned the issue of
2	disclosures by Council Members in February
3	of 2020, that's when I initially mentioned
4	it. And that was at the time we were
5	thinking of asking for all this information
6	from everyone else, and I said we had to
7	hold ourselves to the same standard, same
8	high standard. So this was not a new
9	iteration for me or a new item.
10	So again, we are seeking the truth and
11	seeking our ability to address concerns that
12	arose from this matter with legislative
13	changes, and we need all information
14	available to be able to do that.
15	No other business. Seeing no other
16	hands raised for any other business, this
17	meeting is adjourned at this time. Thank
18	you.
19	(Meeting adjourned at 8:35 a.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
6	Captioner, do hereby certify that I was
7	authorized to and did report the foregoing
8	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
9	through 34, is a true record of my stenographic
10	notes.
11	
12	DATED this 12th day of November, 2020.
13	
14	
15	Spurk E Vor
16	Amanda E. Robinson,
17	Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Captioner
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	